

50th Commemoration of Agent Orange/DIOXIN

PROTEST AIR WAR, CHEMICAL WAR, WAR CRIMES

Compiled by Dick Bennett for a Culture of Peace, Justice, and Ecology—
Protection of Humans and All Species from the Warmakers.

Contents

http://thewe.cc/weplanet/asia/vietnam/agent_orange_victims_of-US_herbicide_spraying_vietnam.htm

Help the Vietnam Victims

Rolla Veterans Protest Dioxin Use

Companies and US Government Knew Danger of Dioxin

Companies Concealed Danger

om.vn

Vietnamese Edition

NEWS **NATIONAL**

National Thursday, Jun 23, 2011, Posted at: 17:23(GMT+7)

Society

Politics

Ho Chi Minh City

International

Business

Health

Education

Science/Tech.

Sports

Weather

Environment

Law

Travel

Culture/Art

Lifestyle

Special report

Entertainment

Opinion

About us

Contact us

Editor-in-Chief

TRAN THE TUYEN

[PRESIDENT HO CHI MINH AND THIS DAY IN HISTORY](#)

[December 31](#)

[December 29](#)

[December](#)

[December](#)

Latest News

[VN, Thailand mark relations with regional focus](#)

[National Assembly ratifies new cabinet members](#)

[Swedish Gov't: Embassy in Hanoi will be retained](#)

[Exhibition on Agent Orange tragedy](#)

[Cu Huy Ha Vu to attend court of appeals](#)

[PM to retain current cabinet structure](#)

[Japanese female writer awarded peace insignia](#)

Help called for Vietnamese victims of Agent Orange

Vietnam Association of Victims of Agent Orange/Dioxin or VAVA has called Vietnamese and people around the world to give a hand to Dioxin's victims.

The call, which marks the 50thA Commemoration of Agent Orange (AO), businesswoman reminds people of the catastrophic day, offers gifts to August 10, 1961 when aircrafts of US Air three- year-old Force first sprayed the toxic herbicide over Nguyen Hoai Thuong, a victim of Agent South Vietnam. Orange/Dioxin

August 10 is such a terrible memory to Vietnamese people. The spraying of AO in Cu Chi District, HCMC through ten years after that dramatically destroyed the habitat, ecosystem and (Photo: Quang Thai) especially health of Vietnamese people.

More than three million hectares of forest and field, 26.000 villages and hamlets were badly poisoned by this toxic chemical. About three million Vietnamese were victims of the spraying and a great number of people died in unbearable sufferings.

Although the War ended 36 years ago and Vietnam has revived gradually, the pains suffered by many families still do not burn out. Thousands of children were born deformed. A considerable number of women do not know the feeling of being a wife or a mother. Several people are confronting with diabolical agonies caused by Agent Orange/Dioxin day by day. Although these families are supported by many domestic and foreign organizations, they are still the most

destitute people in the society.

VAVA has sent out urgent requests asking generous donators around the world to support the campaign "For victims of Agent Orange". The association also expects American, especially two producers of AO Dow Chemicals Corporate and Monsanto Company, to courageously admit their faults and fulfill their responsibility over the Agent Orange/Dioxin damage.

To assist the Agent Orange/Dioxin victims in Vietnam and victims of all the chemical weapons means to contribute to preserve justice and peace on the earth.

Related stories:

[Makers of deadly Agent Orange keep claiming innocent](#)

[Vietnamese joined by foreign voice, hands for Agent Orange legacy](#)

Source: Vietnam News Agency - Translated by Huu Duy

[Home](#)

[SiteMap](#)

[Your E-mail Alerts](#)

[Reader Suggestion](#)

@Copyright 2005 SGGP English edition
Office: 203 Phung Hung street, District 5, Ho Chi Minh City
Tel: 3.9294.092, 3.9294.093, 3.9294.094 • Fax: (08) 3.9294.083
Email SGGP English Edition: sgdaily@sggp.org.vn

Happy Veterans Day, Agent Orange Victims

While little school children waved flags and put on militaristic Veterans Day programs, Vietnam Veterans continued to die of Agent Orange poisoning at an alarming rate. Here in Rolla (and in many other communities) a small group of Vietnam Veterans and their friends and families released over one thousand orange balloons in memory of those who have died of Agent Orange exposure. This nationwide event was inspired by Rolla's Becky Gibbs whose husband, Bill, died recently of Agent Orange poisoning that he contracted while serving in the Navy in Vietnam.

Soldiers are expendable. They always have been and always will be, especially since generals tired of leading their troops into battle and opted for air-conditioned bunkers and war-rooms from which they could fight safely and comfortably.

During the Vietnam War, our troops were ordered to handle some of the most toxic chemicals known to man and poison the food and water supply of entire countries. Agent Orange contains dioxin which causes developmental disorders, malformed fetuses such as

those pictured in the placard above, and a host of other diseases. The Pentagon told our troops that Agent Orange wouldn't hurt them; but that was a lie and they knew it. The Pentagon and the Veterans Administration continue to deny that Agent Orange caused the suffering of many veterans, such as Bill Gibbs, in order to avoid paying disability and long term care benefits.

Much of Vietnam is still contaminated from the Agent Orange we left behind and [Vietnamese are still suffering from it](#).

If you think dishonoring veterans in order to avoid paying them disability benefits is a thing of the past, guess again. Remember those photos of the Pentagon torturing Iraqis in Abu Ghraib? They torture our disabled troops too, at least until they sign away their rights to disability benefits. Read [Disposable Soldiers](#) and watch this ten minute video of the [Congressional Torture Hearings](#).

The pentagon has realized a windfall of billions of dollars by denying veterans their disability benefits.

You would think that after receiving [54% of our income taxes](#), the Pentagon would not have to enrich themselves at the expense of disabled veterans, but their greed and depravity knows no bounds, and neither does the greed and depravity of those on Wall Street who grow rich on the wars we fight.

Veterans Day used to be Armistice Day, commemorating the end of World War I. Many thought, wrongly, that Armistice Day would commemorate the end of war itself; but now that we are fighting perpetual war without end, it certainly wouldn't do to celebrate the end of war. Here's a poem by Robert W. Service of the [disposable soldiers of World War I](#).

The placard above is from Rolla's 2010 Veterans Day Memorial to Agent Orange victims. Visit the [Agent Orange Balloon Release](#) memorial website and watch Lois Ann Marler's

Chemical companies, US authorities knew dangers of Agent Orange

Jon Dillingham August 10, 2009

Agent Orange victims march Sunday, August 9, 2009 on Le Duan Boulevard in Ho Chi Minh City's District 1 To commemorate the first Orange Day in Vietnam.

Those responsible for exposing Vietnamese citizens and US troops to toxic defoliants kept silent about known health implications, a review of

documents finds.

US chemical companies that made Agent Orange and the government and military authorities who ordered its spraying on Vietnam knew the human health toll it could take, according to official and unofficial documents detailing the history of the deadly defoliant. A review of the documents related to the use of Agent Orange - a dioxin-laden herbicide - in Vietnam, including decades-old declassified papers from the companies that manufactured it and the government and military that used it, provides compelling evidence that those in charge also concealed evidence of the devastating effects it could have on people.

Mum's the word

A declassified letter by V.K. Rowe at Dow's Biochemical Research Library to Bioproducts Manager Ross Milholland dated June 24, 1965 clearly states that the company knew the dioxin in their products, including Agent Orange, could hurt people.

In reference to 2,4,5,-trichlorophenol and 2,3,7,8, -tetrachlorodibenzodioxin (components of Agent Orange), Rowe stated:

"This material is exceptionally toxic; it has a tremendous potential for producing chloracne and systemic injury."

Rowe worried the company would suffer if word got out.

"The whole 2,4,5-T industry would be hard hit and I would expect restrictive legislation, either barring the material or putting very rigid controls upon it."

So he said the company should keep quiet about the toxicity:

"There is no reason why we cannot get this problem under strict control and thereby hopefully avoid restrictive legislation ... I trust you will be very judicious in your use of this information.

It could be quite embarrassing if it were misinterpreted or misused ...

P.S. Under no circumstances may this letter be reproduced, shown, or sent to anyone outside of Dow."

Dow played its cards right, never getting in serious trouble. The spraying of Agent Orange in Vietnam went on for another six years.

Dow did not return phone calls and emails requesting comment on the Agent Orange issue.

Could have manufactured without dioxin but process slower and more expensive

In the latest case of US veterans trying to sue Dow and Monsanto for their cancers related to Agent Orange exposure, Supreme Court Documents related to a petition for a Writ of Certiorari in Daniel Raymond Stephenson, et al., petitioners, v. Dow Chemical Company, Monsanto Company, et al., respondents, further implicates the companies in cover-ups and misinformation.

The petitioners state that the companies knew their dioxins, such as those used in Agent Orange, were harmful and lied about it while concealing information, including the fact that several factory workers had fallen sick after exposure to dioxin.

Several key facts "remain undisputed," according to the document:

"Respondents never shared the information in their sole possession about health risks attributable to dioxin."

"Respondents used proprietary, defective manufacturing processes that dangerously contaminated 2,4,5-T with dioxin."

That is, the chemical companies could have manufactured their products without dioxin, as other companies had done, but the process was slower and more expensive, so they chose a more dangerous method.

The companies "secretly tested their products for dioxin and hid its extreme toxicity from the military," according to the petitioners. The petitioners stated that the companies had been hiding information during the ongoing court process:

"Respondents also misrepresent today's medical understanding of the injuries caused by exposure to dioxin.

Instead of telling this Court that the NAS/IOM has found that numerous cancers have been related to exposure to dioxin-contaminated 2,4,5-T (ingredient in Agent Orange) they quote a twenty-year-old Second Circuit opinion to say: 'Even today, . . . no . . . evidence that Agent Orange was hazardous to human health.'"

The petitioners said the companies had misrepresented the health effects with "patently false" assertions that none of their workers had gotten sick from dioxin poisoning.

Inside job

Though numerous studies have uncontroversially demonstrated the devastating effects of dioxin exposure on humans, the companies that manufactured Agent Orange have gone out of their way to offer their own unique perspective.

Through 2004, Dow and Monsanto funded several friendly studies by Dr. Alvin L. Young to show that the exposure of US ground forces to Agent Orange should be of minimal health concern.

Young's schizophrenic reports go back and forth from saying that dioxins are not harmful to saying they are harmful and his largely debunked studies have drawn the scorn of prominent members of the scientific community.

"Young is paid by the chemical companies," Dr. Wayne Dwernychuk, a retired senior/advisor at Hatfield Consultants, told Thanh Nien Daily. "I don't believe a word he says." Hatfield Consultants is a research leader in the field of contamination from dioxin herbicides in Vietnam.

US military experts knew

Though reports point to the fact that chemical companies like Dow and Monsanto knowingly hid evidence of dioxin-related medical problems from the government, the declassified 1990 Zumwalt Report suggests that US military experts knew that Agent Orange was harmful at the time of its use.

The report quotes a 1988 letter from Dr. James R. Clary, a former government scientist with the Chemical Weapons Branch, to Senator Tom Daschle. Dr. Clary was involved in designing tanks that sprayed herbicides and defoliants in Vietnam, according to the report. Clary told Daschle:

"When we (military scientists) initiated the herbicide program in the 1960's, we were aware of the potential for damage due to dioxin contamination in the herbicide.

We were even aware that the 'military' formulation had a higher dioxin concentration than the 'civilian' version due to the lower cost and speed of manufacture.

However, because the material was to be used on the 'enemy,' none of us were overly concerned.

We never considered a scenario in which our own personnel would become contaminated with the herbicide. And, if we had, we would have expected our own government to give assistance to veterans so contaminated.”

Chemical warfare: calling a spade a spade

Supporters of the US's Agent Orange Campaign prefer to call it an “herbicide program” rather than chemical warfare. But official documents reveal that the US Senate knew its real name.

In US Senate Congressional Records dated August 11, 1969, a table presented to senators showed that congress clearly classified 2,4-D and 2,4,5-T (main components of Agent Orange) in the Chemical and Biological Warfare category.

The table also includes Cacodylic Acid, a main component of Agent Blue, another chemical sprayed on Vietnam to kill plants, in the official Chemical and Biological Warfare category.

The table describes it as “an arsenic-base compound... heavy concentrations will cause arsenical poisoning in humans. Widely used in Vietnam. It is composed of 54.29 percent arsenic.”

As Vietnam War Scholar and US Veteran W.D. Ehrhart put it concisely in a Thanh Nien Daily interview last week: “It would be hard to describe Agent Orange as anything other than a chemical weapon. Dioxin is a chemical.”

So is arsenic.

Some subtitles changed from original article by TheWE.cc

[Click here for article](#)

Copyright © 2009 ThanhNienNews.com

US chemical companies concealed effects of dioxin, say advocates

By An Dien and Jon Dillingham

[Thanh Nien](#) – August 6, 2009

An American lawyer and a French activist say chemical companies that produced Agent Orange, a toxic defoliant used by the US Army during the Vietnam War, connived to cover up its dangers.

The following are excerpts from interviews conducted with Gerson H. Smoger, a lawyer who has represented American Agent Orange victims for years, and Marie Hélène Lavallard, a member of the French-Vietnamese Friendship Association, on how US chemical companies hid the fact that they knew how hazardous Agent Orange was.

Thanh Nien Daily: *How can these companies get away with compensating Americans but not Vietnamese?*

Smoger: I would not say that they “got away with compensating,” because I can assure you that the responsible chemical companies had no interest in compensating anyone.

Also, unfortunately, the chemical companies have never really compensated the vast majority of American veterans either.

While there was a settlement entered into in 1984, the money ran out in 1994.

Of the 2.4 million Americans who served in Vietnam, only about 60,000 ever received anything from the companies... Given how long it takes to get cancer from the chemicals, virtually none of the veterans who got cancer have received any compensation from the companies...

...I have reviewed literally millions of pages of documents... It seems that the manufacturers conspired to hide the dangers from the US government and the rest of the world.

The chemical companies knew about the dangers and held secret meetings with the purpose of conspiring to keep the knowledge of the dangers from the US government.

Lavallard: The first thing to do is consider separately the 1984 agreement [with US Veterans] and the 2004-2008 lawsuit [filed by Vietnamese victims], not because they are separated by 20 years, though they are, but because they have almost nothing in common.

The settlement of 1984 was not a judgment; on the contrary it was made to avoid a lawsuit... Why did the parties choose a private settlement?

One has to consider the background.

In 1980, 1983 and 1984, three studies were published by Dr. George Roush, the medical director of Monsanto.

They asserted, especially the last two, that Agent Orange had no

inconvenient effects on human health.

Of course, they were faked but that was discovered only years later.

At the moment, they were “The Truth.”

So the veterans were afraid of losing everything with the lawsuit and preferred a settlement... On Monsanto's side, they were up to the nostrils in the Times Beach scandal, a small town so contaminated by TCDD that finally the US government bought it all in February 1983 and had it scratched from the

surface of the earth.

Monsanto was guilty and was organizing its defense.

It did not need the bad publicity of a lawsuit for Agent Orange. Do not ask if it escaped the Times Beach condemnation, it did, having people destroying the necessary documents.

Not the slightest "moral" feeling in this settlement.

Just a cynical and clever way to pay a small sum to avoid a bigger disgrace.

The amount was ridiculous.

Once the lawyers had taken their share, the compensations for some 40,000 people ranged from US\$256-12 800, with an estimated mean of \$4,000.

Even in 1984 it was not much.

For those who received their share in the last years up to 1994 it

was simply alms ...the judge did not rule in favor of the American

victims.

It was a private settlement, such as the American law permits.

It was not generous.

As for the Vietnamese victims, be sure the corporations do not care at all for them.

They knew their herbicides were lethal, and they got along to hide it from the US Army at a Dow-Monsanto secret meeting in 1965.

They could have produced the herbicides with much less TCDD, or even without it, but they were only interested in making as much money as possible selling as many gallons as possible as quickly as possible.

Should the US do more to help clean up Agent Orange "hot-spots" in Vietnam?

Lavallard: Easy question: The US government requested and obtained \$120 million from Hercules, a chemical company who manufactured herbicides for the war and moved to another place without cleaning its former plant.

US militarism

More than a third of land in six central Vietnamese provinces lethally contaminated with unexploded bombs and land mines

Just calculate!

Whatever the "legal" aspect, the USA are responsible for poisoning huge parts of Vietnam.

They made the mess, they have to clean it.

I notice that this question is much easier than the question of sanitary damages.

For those, there are still arguments about proofs, scientific enough or not, diseases due to sprayings or to other reasons, etc.

But for the environment, the question is perfectly clear: the US wanted to destroy the forest, they succeeded.

They wanted to ban the peasants away from their rice fields, they did.

They wanted to destroy the crops, they did, and some contaminated areas remain unsuitable and dangerous to live in.